



05/22/00

Customer No. 20350

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3834  
(415) 576-0200

**ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
BOX PATENT APPLICATION  
Washington, D.C. 20231**

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing under 37 CFR 1.53(b) is the

- ☒ [ X ] patent application of  
☐ [ ] continuation patent application of  
☐ [ ] divisional patent application of  
☐ [ ] continuation-in-part patent application of

Inventor(s)/Applicant Identifier: Alexandre Dayon

For: SYSTEM FOR PUBLISHING, ORGANIZING, ACCESSING AND DISTRIBUTING INFORMATION IN A COMPUTER NETWORK

[ X ] This application claims priority from each of the following Application Nos./filing dates:

Serial No. 60/148,029, filed August 10, 1999  
the disclosure(s) of which is (are) incorporated by reference.

Enclosed are:

- ☒ [ X ] 18 page(s) of specification  
☒ [ X ] 3 page(s) of claims  
☒ [ X ] 1 page of Abstract  
☒ [ X ] 6 sheet(s) of [ ] formal [ X ] informal drawing(s).  
☒ [ X ] An assignment of the invention to \_\_\_\_\_  
☒ [ X ] A [ ] signed [ X ] unsigned Declaration & Power of Attorney  
☒ [ X ] 16 sheets of master microfiche

**In view of the Unsigned Declaration as filed with this application and pursuant to 37 CFR §1.53(f),  
Applicant requests deferral of the filing fee until submission of the Missing Parts of Application.**

DO NOT CHARGE THE FILING FEE AT THIS TIME.

Telephone:  
(415) 576-0200

Facsimile:  
(415) 576-0300

Attorney Docket No. 19940-000110US

"Express Mail" Label No. EM575724386US

Date of Deposit: May 22, 2000

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above, addressed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

By: *Samuel B. [Signature]*

*Charles J. Kulas*  
Charles J. Kulas  
Reg No.: 35,809  
Attorneys for Applicant

PTO  
09/576946



**PATENT APPLICATION**  
**SYSTEM FOR PUBLISHING, ORGANIZING, ACCESSING AND**  
**DISTRIBUTING INFORMATION IN A COMPUTER NETWORK**

Inventor(s):

Alexandre Dayon  
a citizen of France residing at  
33 Rue Nicolo  
75116 Paris  
France

Assignee:

INSTRANET SARL  
1 Square Chaptal  
92309 Levallois-Perret  
FRANCE

Entity: Small

## **SYSTEM FOR PUBLISHING, ORGANIZING, ACCESSING AND DISTRIBUTING INFORMATION IN A COMPUTER NETWORK**

### CLAIM OF PRIORITY

5           This application claims priority from Provisional Patent Application Serial  
No. 60/148,029 filed on August 10, 1999, entitled "System for Publishing, Organizing,  
Accessing and Distributing Information in a Computer Network," which is hereby  
incorporated by reference as if set forth in full in this document.

### COPYRIGHT NOTICE

10           A portion of the disclosure recited in the specification contains material  
which is subject to copyright protection. Specifically, a Microfiche Appendix in  
15   accordance with 37 CFR Section 1.96 is included that lists source code instructions for a  
process by which the present invention is practiced in a computer system. The  
Microfiche Appendix comprises 16 sheets of microfiche containing 1458 pages of source  
code. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction of the  
specification as filed in the Patent and Trademark Office. Otherwise all copyright rights  
20   are reserved.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

25           The present invention relates in general to the transfer of data in computer  
networks and more specifically to a system for publishing, organizing, accessing and  
distributing information in a computer network.

          Accessing information, and publishing information for others to access or  
obtain, are important features of computer networks. However, although the trend is to  
make information access and publishing easy for users of computers and computer  
30   networks, many of the mechanisms available are not easy for an average user to master.  
For example, publishing a web document not only requires a user to have some  
knowledge about where to publish, and to what audience to publish, but the user may  
have to publish the document to several "sites" or locations to make the document readily

available to a desired number of users. This is the case, for example, when a company uses the company's network, or intranet, that has different web sites associated with different departments, regions, etc.

The lack of structure or organization of web pages, and documents, on networks can be both good and bad. Lack of structure can allow easy publishing of documents without placing a burden on the publisher to comply with a predefined organization. This also lets each web site developer, online business, database, etc., to create a customized organization that is best suited to the specific type of information. However, lack of structure and organization also creates difficulties for a user of the network to efficiently search for documents. Often a user has to perform many searches and access different websites and utilities to look for the document. This involves much typing and mouse (or other user input device) manipulation, is time-consuming and can be frustrating and counter-productive.

An analogy can be made to a newspaper which has an effective and well-known organization. A reader of the newspaper can quickly obtain information from the newspaper by going to a subject section such as "Business," "Sports," "Travel," etc. The newspaper also provides an index or table of contents. Articles are organized in order of importance with "links" to other sections of related news, such as the continuing text of an article. However, to achieve this level of organization means that considerable time must be spent on editing, page layout, paste-up, etc. Also, writers, editors, and other people must work in a concerted effort to produce the organized information. The approach of computer networks has been to allow each writer/publisher to throw an article into a haphazard network "bin" and to rely on loose organization mechanisms such as keyword searching, folder organization, hyperlink organization or criteria organization.

An example of a web site structure that a typical company might provide on its internal intranet is to have different web sites for functions, or departments, such as "Human Resources," "Marketing," and "Finance." If the company is large, there may be different regional offices, each having these functions. If, for example, the company has offices at locations in the U.S., Europe and Asia, this amounts to 9 different intranet sites for information. Also, there will typically also be a main site for each regional office, a main site for each department or organization, and a main site for the overall company.

Thus, we find 16 possible sites in all for the example discussed above. The typical organization for documents associated with these sites is to have the documents pointed at by links. The links can be organized into categories at each site's

web page. Not only does this make publishing information extremely difficult when it is desired to make information available to more than one site; but any person interested in searching and obtaining information may have to visit several sites. Also, the task of publishing documents to the various intranet sites is usually handled by a different person than the writer/publisher. Not only can this become a huge task, given the number of documents and sites, but mistakes in classification are likely.

Some traditional methods for accessing documents in computer networks include keyword searching. This allows a user to make a relational query such as "movie review." The search will return documents that include the term "movie review" somewhere in the document. The documents can be at any number of sites. A search can be further narrowed by, for example, including a relational term such as "AND" and the name of a movie reviewer. Also, a specific date, or period of time, can be specified. However, because of the huge volume of information on most intranets (and certainly the worldwide Internet) the number of documents that match basic keyword searches is very large. Unless the user is very familiar with the terminology, and type, of documents relating to the subject in which the user is interested, the user's keyword search will most likely turn up many documents in which the user is not interested. These must be further filtered by refining the query until the proper documents are identified. With this approach it is often impossible to obtain a list of only relevant documents in which the searcher is interested. The scope of the keyword search can not be set by the user but is determined by the entity running the search engine and compiling the search engine database.

Besides the large volume of information, another difficulty in obtaining desired documents is that documents are created and "published" to the networks with few, or no, restrictions as to their form and organization. In other words, a web page can be created and published by a user that includes text, images, etc. with an arbitrary organization. A document might or might not have a title, author's name, publication date, etc. The text of a document can be arranged in columns, paragraphs, one-liner separated by images or graphics, etc. Often a document may not have any short identifying features, or any way to tell where one field, such as the subject of the document, begins and ends so that the subject may be indistinguishable from the body of the document at least insofar as a keyword search is concerned.

One approach to overcome some of these problems is to hand-annotate documents found on networks. Typically, this is done after document creation

(sometimes long after document creation) by a person who was involved in the creation of a document, web page, etc. Not only does this require substantial amounts of manual labor and time by persons having some skill and knowledge in the area to which the documents relates, but, by attempting to organize and summarize aspects of the document, mistakes can be introduced, thereby compromising the degree of accurate, searchable information.

Another approach is to use "folders" or sub-directories in programs such as email programs or web browsers. However, organizing information in this way is usually done manually by the viewer of the information (i.e., the email or web documents). There is no provision for publishing to a user's private organization as these folders are hidden from publishers. Where a public organizational hierarchy is implemented with folders, such a hierarchy often becomes large and complex, requiring much time to navigate. Also, this approach does not provide flexible security or access control.

Some web sites, such as [www.yahoo.com](http://www.yahoo.com), accumulate information such as documents, web pages, etc., from various sources and categorize, summarize and annotate the documents. This multicriteria organization defines categories which are presented to a user searching the Internet as a hierarchy of web pages. Each successive web page in the hierarchy (i.e., web pages progressively lower in the hierarchy) contain a new sub-category of selections that further narrow the category. At some point, the user decides that the category is the one desired and clicks a control. A collection of information that fits the category is then presented to the user.

However, this approach often requires that documents be interpreted and classified by a person other than the author so that errors can be introduced. Also, a considerable amount of work is required to do the classifying, write an abstract, , etc. Another drawback is that the navigation through web pages can be slow. Also, a user does not have an awareness of the overall classification scheme being used. In other words, the user does not know how many sub-category levels there are in the hierarchy, or what types of classifications are used, until the user has done a substantial amount of investigating into the hierarchy "tree" classes.

Still other drawbacks of the prior art include the inability to index to individual pages, sections, or portions of a document. This means that text that would otherwise be maintained as a single document must be broken into several documents if it is desired to only allow certain groups to have access to different portions of the original

text. Current network organizations do not provide a very flexible security and access system. Usually a website is restricted to user's with a certain account or password. Each user wishing to access the site, and all of the site's documents, must enter the password. The use of passwords is difficult to maintain since accounts must be set-up, user's can forget the passwords, etc. Also, the granularity of password protection is very coarse as an entire website is usually either open or closed to a particular user.

Thus, it is desirable to provide a computer network-based system that overcomes some or all of the problems in the prior art and provides an efficient system for publishing, organizing, accessing and distributing information in a computer network.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention allows a user to filter and view documents provided over a network. The documents are filtered based on categories. A category selector is a user interface tool that allows the user to select multiple values to define a category. For example, values can be used to specify geographic location, corporate department, employee classification, time period, etc. Each category includes one or more values. For example, in the "Geographic Location" type of value, values can be "Worldwide," "Europe," "France," etc. Values for "Corporate Department" can include, e.g., "Human Resources," "Marketing," etc. Values for "Employee Classification" can include "All Employees," "Mid-Level Managers," "Staff," etc.

Using the four value types discussed above, a category might be "Europe; Human Resources; All Employees; Before 1999." Thus, the category defines a segment, or filter, of documents or information within the total documents or information available in a network. The total documents or information available can be considered those documents within a company's intranet, or the total of the documents available on the Internet, world wide web, individual web site, or other computer network or database.

Note that, as discussed below, two different users who have selected the same category may not see the same documents, or document sections. This is because the present invention takes into account the community to which each user is assigned. So, for example, a user who has an HR dimension to their assigned community can be restricted from seeing documents that are designated for accounting. The same type of restrictions can apply to sections, or portions, of documents.

A preferred embodiment of the invention generates a list of documents that satisfy the category definition. The category definition is selectable by a user of the

system using a “selector” tool in a user interface. The selector tool in the preferred embodiment presents a list of values for each value type in the category. Thus, the user can choose, in a menu-like style, values to create a category definition. Once the category definition is set, only those documents which meet the definition are shown to the user.

- 5 This acts to greatly simplify the user’s search through many sites, servers, libraries, etc. on the network. Keyword searching can also be used in conjunction with category selection to provide a powerful search.

- The list of documents that meet the category can be organized as discrete documents, or can be organized as collections of document types. In other words,
- 10 documents can be organized into document types such as “career,” “demo,” “legal,” “policies,” etc. Within each of these document types can be discrete document names, sub-types, etc. The collection of types, and the types’ documents and sub-types, that are returned for a given category is referred to as a “theme.” Categories are referred to as “communities,” or “slices.” Type values are referred to as “dimensions.” Communities,
- 15 dimensions, dimension values, and themes can be set by a system administrator. Users have great flexibility in using themes and have some ability to define new themes. A given theme can be associated with more than one slice. Users who publish documents to the network are associated with a slice, or community. The default for a published document is to be associated with a slice of the publishing user. However, the user can
- 20 choose to associate a document with a different slice, or slices. Or, the system administrator can change the association of the document.

- In a preferred embodiment, the invention provides a method for accessing web pages on a network, wherein the network is coupled to a server computer and a user computer operated by a user, the user computer including a user input device and a
- 25 display device, the method comprising transferring a portion of a web page from the server computer to the display device over the network, wherein the portion of a web page includes a selector allowing the user to select one or more of the following categories: geographic location, corporate department, employee classification, time period; detecting a user’s choice by receiving information generated in response to signals
- 30 from the user input device to indicate the one or more categories chosen by the user; identifying one or more web pages associated with information that meets the chosen categories; and sending information about the identified web pages to the user computer.



## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 illustrates a selector tool of the present invention;

Fig. 2A illustrates strict filtering;

Fig. 2B illustrates ascending filtering;

5 Fig. 2C illustrates descending filtering;

Fig. 2D illustrates the use of theme headings;

Fig. 2E illustrates a user interface mechanism for defining themes;

Fig. 2F shows a text search box used in conjunction with a slice selector tool; and

10 Fig. 3 illustrates a data model of the preferred embodiment.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

15 The present invention is to be embodied in a suite of software products created and distributed by Intranet, Inc., of Palo Alto, California. Various aspects of the invention are discussed below, followed by a description of hardware suitable for use with the invention.

### 20 **Obtaining Documents**

Fig. 1 shows screen display 100 of the user interface of the present invention.

In Fig. 1, selector 110 is a set of four pull-down menus or lists. Each of pull-down menu corresponds to one "dimension" used to define the "slice," or  
25 "community." Each of pull-down menus 102, 104, 106 and 108 correspond, respectively, to the following dimensions: geographic location, corporate department, employee classification, time period.

Screen 100 shows the display after the user has set values for each of the dimensions as: "U.S."; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods." Thus, the slice,  
30 or community defined in selector 110 of screen display 100 is for documents associated with any employees, published at any time, in the companies U.S. offices relating to the company's Human Resources Department.

A list of the results of specifying the slice shown in Fig. 1 is to the left of the web-page at 120 of Fig. 1. This list shows two document type headings as "Career

and Policies.” Within the career heading, are three documents identified as “Employment Philosophy,” “Referral Program,” and “Training & Development.” Under the “Policies” heading, are “Employment Guidelines” and “Policies.” The list of documents at 120 is retrieved after the server automatically executes database search instructions according to  
 5 the defined slice. The server begins executing the database search based on the defined slice when the user clicks on the “Find” icon at 122.

The user can view a selected document by clicking on the document name in the list at 120. The document is shown in the document viewing area at 130 of Fig. 1, below selector 110 and to the right of list 120.

10 In addition to document titles, uniform resource locators (URLs) can be shown in the list. By clicking on a URL, the user is taken to the corresponding web site, web page or other resource associated with the clicked URL.

Table I shows examples of possible values for dimensions.

In Table I, values for each of the dimensions used in selector 110 of Fig. 1  
 15 are as follows: the dimension “Geographic Location” can have values such as “Worldwide,” “Asia,” “Europe,” “France,” “U.K.,” “America,” and “U.S.” Naturally, any number of geographic locations can be specified and placed into the list. The preferred embodiment allows values for dimensions to be organized in a hierarchy. As shown in Table I, the value “Worldwide” includes values encompassed by it. These  
 20 “child” values (to which the value “Worldwide” is the parent) are indicated by progressively indenting to the right. Thus, “Asia,” “Europe,” and “America” are each given one level of indenting to the right. Under the “Europe” value are associated child values of “France” and “U.K.” Under the value of “America” is the value “U.S.”

<b>Geographic Location</b>	<b>Corporate Department</b>	<b>Employee Classification</b>	<b>Time Period</b>
Worldwide	All Departments	All employees	All Periods
Asia	Human Resources	Staff	Today
Europe	Corporate	Engineers	This Week
France	Marketing	Mid Level Managers	Last Week
U.K.	Engineering	Senior Managers	This Month
America	Accounting	Executives	
U.S.		Board of Directors	

**TABLE I**

Values for the other dimensions are shown in Table I. Note that other selections and arrangements of dimensions, and dimension values, are possible. In the preferred embodiment, a system administrator is the one that sets up the dimensions, and dimension values, that can be used by users to define communities or slices. Thus, the system administrator is given control over the highest level of organization of documents in the network. Users use the dimensions, and dimension values to form slices to filter information and to obtain lists of documents.

Other arrangements for implementing selector 110 of Fig. 1 are possible. That is, each dimension need not be a pull-down list of items. For example, the complete lists of all values for dimensions can be constantly displayed. The use of pull-down menus however, makes selector 110 more compact. Thus, leaving more room for, e.g., displaying the text of document, or for other purposes. For example, an arbitrary text entry can be allowed so that a list is not even provided. This may be useful, especially for the "Time Period" dimension so as to allow a user to type in dates and times. The time period can also be set graphically with sliders, clocks and calendars, etc.

Another example of a slice using the dimensions and dimension value shown in Table 1 is the following: the slice "Europe"; "Marketing"; "Staff"; "This Week"; results in a listing of all documents generated in the company's offices in Europe concerning the staff in the Marketing Department for the present week that the document request based on the slice is being made.

Note that, once a slide is set, all of the user's subsequent browsing takes place within that slice. In other words, the user will not be shown, in the list at 120 of Fig. 1, documents or document headings, not associated with the selected slide. Themes which define which document headings, and documents, are shown in association with the slice are discussed in more detail below.

Thus, the user is able to "filter" documents in a way that makes sense within a corporate structure. In the preferred embodiment, filtering can be at different levels. The possible levels are "Strict", "" and "Descending". Other embodiments can include "ascending" filtering which is also discussed below.

Strict filtering means that only the documents that are associated with the given slice are identified to the user. Fig. 2A illustrates the results of a search using strict

filtering with the dimensions and possible dimension values shown in Table I. In Fig. 2A, only 4 documents in 2 categories meet the community “WorldWide; Marketing; All Employees; All Periods” with strict filtering.

In ascending filtering, all documents are listed that are associated with the selected slice, or which are associated with other slices that match the selected slice but that have one or more dimension values that are a parent-value of the selected slice’s dimension values. For example, using the geographic location dimension, the value “WorldWide” is a parent-value of the value “Europe”. Similarly, the value “Europe” is a parent-value of the value “France”. Thus, if the user is filtering the slice shown in Fig. 1 of “U.S.; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods” all documents associated with the following slices will also be returned: “America; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods”, and “World-wide; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods”.

A preferred embodiment of the invention uses only strict and descending filtering. Other embodiments can allow the user to select the type of filtering from among one or more of the three types. Other types of filtering are possible.

Fig. 2B shows an example of the list of documents returned with ascending filtering used on the community “Europe; Sales; All Employees; All Periods”. Documents are returned that are associated with both the European and worldwide sales reports.

“Descending” filtering is similar to “Ascending” filtering, but proceeds in the opposite direction with respect to dimension values. In “Descending” filtering, all documents associated with the selected slice, and slices having the same dimension values as the selected slice and including slices having a dimension value that is the child-value of one or more of the selected slices dimension values, are provided to the user. For example, in the case where the slice specified is the “America; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods” then documents associated with that slice and also the slice “U.S.; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods” are also returned to the user.

Fig. 2C illustrates descending filtering. Fig. 2C shows the results returned with the community “WorldWide; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods”. Note that many documents are identified which correspond to all geographic regions for Human Resources departments.

A refinement to the selector tool is shown in Fig. 2F.

In Fig. 2F, selector 140 includes dimensions similar to selector 110 of Fig. 1, but also includes the added dimension of “Hobbies.” Further, text entry window 142 is

provided, along with search button 144. The use of the text entry window and search button allows a user to perform a keyword search within the defined slice. All documents within the slice filtering which also include the text phrase or keyword specified in the text entry window will then be displayed to the user. Note that refinements are possible, such as allowing relational expressions within the text entry window.

Thus, slices are used to provide a filtering function across many documents in one or more web sites, servers, databases, etc. The slice is easily chosen by the user with the selector tool described above. Different filtering modes can be employed.

An additional feature of the invention allows users to predefine slices that can be recalled later. Such pre-defined slices are referred to as “channels.” Thus, a user can select a slice such as “U.S.; Human Resources; All Employees; All Periods” and select a label to associate with the slice, such as “All US HR.” Once designated as a channel, a hyperlink named “All US HR” appears on the user’s display. This can be on a web page or part of a persistent toolbar, sidebar, etc. A user can then conveniently invoke the slice by merely clicking on the channel. The user can define multiple channels in this manner.

Predefined channels, or lists of channels, can be prepared and sent to other users. For example, where the channels are listed on web page, the web page can be emailed to one or more other users. By opening the emailed web page and clicking on the desired channel, the slice associated with that channel becomes the user’s selected slice.

### **Publishing**

Users can publish documents to the system. The publishing, and accessing, of documents is best understood using the two concepts of “coordinate” location and “scope” of visibility.

A coordinate is the specific set of dimension values associated with a user or document (or document section). Another way to think of a coordinate is as the community to which a user or document is associated. For example, a user can belong to the “France”; “Human Resources” community. Typically, a document published by a user is given the same coordinate, or community, as the publishing user. A user with a coordinate of “France”; “Human Resources” would publish to that coordinate. However, the system can be set up by the system administrator so that other coordinates are used as

the default coordinates for publishing for any given user, department, geographic region, community, etc.

The user can also be permitted to publish the document to multiple coordinates. One way to do this is to allow a user to override the default settings by using selector 110 of Fig. 1 to create an association between the user's published document and any desired coordinate. The user publishes to a coordinate by having the document displayed in document viewing area 130 and by clicking on the "Publish" icon 124. At the time of clicking on Publish icon 124, whatever coordinate (i.e., set of dimension values) selected by selector 110 is associated with the document. A user publishes to multiple coordinates by repeatedly selecting the next coordinate to publish to and then clicking on the "Publish" icon at 124 while the document to be published is displayed. Note that the search rules described above, for example ascending filtering, permit users at different coordinates than a documents published coordinate to view and access the document as long as the users are within the filtering rule.

The notion of "scope" refers to the collection of all user coordinates that can view and access a document. This can be more than the users at the document's published coordinate and at coordinates included within the filtering rules.

The system administrator can add coordinates to a document's (or document section's) scope. The system administrator can authorize, and exclude, users from accessing predetermined coordinates. This provides security and access controls based on any of the dimension values such as geographic region, employee position, etc. The system administrator, or another user, can also exclude users belonging to certain coordinates from viewing certain documents, or document portions. Thus, a document, or document portion, as explained below, can make use of its assigned coordinates, within the system to establish the document's "scope of visibility" to users. Naturally, any schemes for permitting or restricting documents, or document portions, from viewing can be used. For example, an access list of each specific community, or coordinate, that can view a document can be maintained. An exclusion list can similarly be maintained in tandem with the access list. Portions of a document can each be associated with one or more coordinates which are different from coordinates associated with other portions of the same document.

When a document is created, it is considered as a single section. This is referred to as the "Master Section." In many situations, this is the only section of the document and acts to associate the entire document with a coordinate or theme (discussed

below). As the document is created, the document author can define document sections which can, in turn, be associated with different coordinates, themes and access requirements. For example, a document may include sales reports which are excluded from all communities that do not include the "sales" or "executive" values.

Sections of a document can include, or entirely be, executable code such as Java, XML. Sections of a document can provide other mechanisms to invoke streaming media information, or perform other tasks. Such executable sections can be associated and restricted in the same way as text sections, described above. This allows greater flexibility, capability and control. For example, an executable section can cause a video or ShockWave™ window to appear and begin playing. Java can be used to have an embedded calculation box, spreadsheet, etc. appear in a document. Such functionality can be excluded from users not associated with predetermined slices, or from users who do not wish to access the functionality. Other examples include a price list where the European prices are only visible by people in European communities and where the U.S. prices are only visible by people in the U.S., etc.

### **Themes**

One aspect of the invention uses "themes" to help organize the presentation of information to a user, and to assist in publication of information across communities. Themes function as category headings for groups of documents which fall into the category.

Fig. 2D shows a portion of the user interface of the present invention to illustrate theme headings. In Fig. 2D, theme headings are shown at 150. Below each heading are document titles. The document titles are indented from their respective theme headings. Although not shown in the black-and-white Figure, theme headings are red in the preferred embodiment. Theme headings function as standard category headings. For example, under the "Demo" theme heading, the documents "Our Products," "Kit PreSales" and "Kit Sales" can be found. Although not shown, sub-themes can be used. For example, the "Demo" theme can have a sub-theme of "Kits" under which the last two documents would be listed.

Unlike communities which serve to categorize, and distinguish, documents according to company structure and timeframe; themes organize documents by document subject or type. In other words, dimensions describe a group of people, or community, while themes define content classification. For example, a theme can be documents

related to employee "Stock Options." Such a theme can be associated only with countries that allow ownership of stock. In the example dimensions and values used so far, such a theme would be associated with the "U.S." and "U.K." values of the "Geographic Region" dimension. A publisher can publish to this theme by selecting from a list of themes at the time of publishing. The published document is automatically associated with the U.S. and U.K. values. The theme heading will only show up in communities that include the U.S. and U.K. values (assuming strict filtering), along with any documents associated with the theme. Users can use themes as part of a filter so that only documents matching selected themes' subject categories (in addition to the dimension values of the slice, or community) will be displayed.

In the preferred embodiment, a theme is selected for a document by using a pull-down list such as that shown in Fig. 2E. The user associates a theme with a section by placing the cursor within the section (e.g., by clicking in a text region of the section) and by selecting the theme. Each user is assigned a default community to which the user belongs. A theme for the document is assigned by placing the cursor in the Master Section and selecting on the desired theme.

### **Hardware/Software Implementation**

Figs. 2A-C illustrate basic hardware suitable for use with the present invention.

Fig. 2A shows computer system 1 including display 3 having display screen 5. Cabinet 7 houses standard computer components (not shown) such as a disk drive, CDROM drive, display adapter, network card, random access memory (RAM), central processing unit (CPU), and other components, subsystems and devices. User input devices such as mouse 11 having buttons 13, and keyboard 9 are shown. Other user input devices such as a trackball, touch-screen, digitizing tablet, etc. can be used. In general, the computer system is illustrative of but one type of computer system, such as a desktop computer, suitable for use with the present invention. Computers can be configured with many different hardware components and can be made in many dimensions and styles (e.g., laptop, palmtop, pentop, server, workstation, mainframe). Any hardware platform suitable for performing the processing described herein is suitable for use with the present invention.



Fig. 2B illustrates subsystems that might typically be found in a computer such as computer 100.

In Fig. 2B, subsystems within box 20 are directly interfaced to internal bus 22. Such subsystems typically are contained within the computer system such as within cabinet 7 of Fig. 2A. Subsystems include input/output (I/O) controller 24, System Random Access Memory (RAM) 26, Central Processing Unit (CPU) 28, Display Adapter 30, Serial Port 40, Fixed Disk 42 and Network Interface Adapter 44. The use of bus 22 allows each of the subsystems to transfer data among the subsystems and, most importantly, with the CPU. External devices can communicate with the CPU or other subsystems via bus 22 by interfacing with a subsystem on the bus. Monitor 46 connects to the bus through Display Adapter 30. A relative pointing device (RPD) 48 such as a mouse connects through Serial Port 40. Some devices such as Keyboard 50 can communicate with the CPU by direct means without using the main data bus as, for example, via an interrupt controller and associated registers (not shown).

As with the external physical configuration shown in Fig. 2A, many subsystem configurations are possible. Fig. 2B is illustrative of but one suitable configuration. Subsystems, components or devices other than those shown in Fig. 2B can be added. A suitable computer system can be achieved without using all of the subsystems shown in Fig. 2B. For example, a standalone computer need not be coupled to a network so Network Interface 44 would not be required. Other subsystems such as a CDROM drive, graphics accelerator, etc. can be included in the configuration without affecting the performance of the system of the present invention.

Fig. 2C is a generalized diagram of a typical network.

In Fig. 2C, the network system 80 includes several local networks coupled to the Internet. Although specific network protocols, physical layers, topologies, and other network properties are presented herein, the present invention is suitable for use with any network.

In Fig. 2C, computer USER1 is connected to Server1. This connection can be by a network such as Ethernet, Asynchronous Transfer Mode, IEEE standard 1553 bus, modem connection, Universal Serial Bus, etc. The communication link need not be a wire but can be infrared, radio wave transmission, etc. Server1 is coupled to the Internet. The Internet is shown symbolically as a collection of server routers 82. Note that the use of the Internet for distribution or communication of information is not strictly necessary to practice the present invention but is merely used to illustrate a preferred embodiment, below. Further, the use of server computers and the designation of server and client machines is not crucial to an implementation of the present invention. USER1 Computer can be connected directly to the Internet. Server1's connection to the Internet is typically by a relatively high bandwidth transmission medium such as a T1 or T3 line.

Similarly, other computers at 84 are shown utilizing a local network at a different location from USER1 computer. The computers at 84 are coupled to the Internet via Server2. USER3 and Server3 represent yet a third installation.

Note that the concepts of "client" and "server," as used in this application and the industry, are very loosely defined and, in fact, are not fixed with respect to machines or software processes executing on the machines. Typically, a server is a machine or process that is providing information to another machine or process, i.e., the "client," that requests the information. In this respect, a computer or process can be acting as a client at one point in time (because it is requesting information) and can be acting as a server at another point in time (because it is providing information). Some computers are consistently referred to as "servers" because they usually act as a repository for a large amount of information that is often requested. For example, a World Wide Web (WWW, or simply, "Web") site is often hosted by a server computer with a large storage capacity, high-speed processor and Internet link having the ability to handle many high-bandwidth communication lines.

A server machine will most likely not be manually operated by a human user on a continual basis, but, instead, has software for constantly, and automatically, responding to information requests. On the other hand, some machines, such as desktop

computers, are typically thought of as client machines because they are primarily used to obtain information from the Internet for a user operating the machine.

Depending on the specific software executing at any point in time on these machines, the machine may actually be performing the role of a client or server, as the need may be. For example, a user's desktop computer can provide information to another desktop computer. Or a server may directly communicate with another server computer. Sometimes this is characterized as "peer-to-peer," communication. Although processes of the present invention, and the hardware executing the processes, may be characterized by language common to a discussion of the Internet (e.g., "client," "server," "peer") it should be apparent that software of the present invention can execute on any type of suitable hardware including networks other than the Internet.

Although software of the present invention, may be presented as a single entity, such software is readily able to be executed on multiple machines. That is, there may be multiple instances of a given software program, a single program may be executing on two or more processors in a distributed processing environment, parts of a single program may be executing on different physical machines, etc. Further, two different programs, such as a client and server program, can be executing in a single machine, or in different machines. A single program can be operating as a client for one information transaction and as a server for a different information transaction.

Fig. 3 shows a model chart for basic data objects of the present invention.

For example, Fig. 3 shows that a document object 200 includes a "BLOB\_ID," "DESCRIPTION," "DOCUMENT\_ID," etc. The BLOB\_ID, in turn, references a blob table that includes additional information and/or references to other objects. Naturally, any manner of suitable software implementation can be employed that can use different, or varied, architectures that that of Fig. 3.

A preferred embodiment of the present invention operates on Microsoft NT or Unix platforms acting as servers. Client platforms can be any personal computer, consumer processing device, etc. The preferred embodiment uses Java for client-side processing and uses Oracle 8i for the underlying database engine. Again, given the nature of computer processing, these specifics are merely one implementation of hardware and software that can be used to implement the present invention.



WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1                   1.     A method for accessing web pages on a network, wherein the  
2     network is coupled to a server computer and a user computer operated by a user, the user  
3     computer including a user input device and a display device, the method comprising  
4                   transferring a portion of a web page from the server computer to the  
5     display device over the network, wherein the portion of a web page includes a selector  
6     allowing the user to select two or more of the following categories: geographic location,  
7     corporate department, employee classification, time period;  
8                   detecting a user's choice by receiving information generated in response to  
9     signals from the user input device to indicate the one or more categories chosen by the  
10    user;  
11                  identifying one or more web pages associated with information that meets  
12    the chosen categories; and  
13                  sending information about the identified web pages to the user computer.

1                   2.     The method of claim 1, wherein the selector includes pull-down  
2     lists, one for each category.

1                   3.     The method of claim 1, wherein information sent to the user  
2     computer is used to display a list of the identified web pages.

1                   4.     The method of claim 1, further comprising  
2     accepting signals from the user input device to create a document; and  
3     accepting signals from the user input device to associate a document with a  
4     category.

1                   5.     The method of claim 4, further comprising  
2     associating a user with a category; and  
3     using the user's associated category as a default category associated with  
4     the created document.

1                   6.     The method of claim 1, wherein each category has sub-categories,  
2     the method further comprising  
3                   defining a theme for associating documents with categories.

1                   7       A user interface for accessing web pages on a network, .  
2                   the user interface using a user input device and display device, the user  
3 interface comprising  
4                   selector means for displaying one or more categories;  
5                   detection means for accepting signals from the user interface device to  
6 detect one or more categories;  
7                   selection means for selecting one or more web pages that meet the chosen  
8 categories; and  
9                   display means for presenting a list of the selected web pages on the display  
10 device.

1                   8.       A user interface for accessing web pages, the user interface  
2 comprising  
3                   displaying a selector for selecting one or more categories;  
4                   accepting signals from the user input device to select one or more of the  
5 categories;  
6                   displaying a list of documents which are included in the selected  
7 categories.

1                   9.       The method of claim 8, wherein a category is one of the following:  
2 geographic location, corporate department, employee classification.

1                   10 .     A method for searching documents in a computer network, the  
2 method comprising  
3                   defining a first category having category types;  
4                   assigning at least a portion of a document to a first type in the category;  
5                   storing the at least a portion of a document in a retrievable form;  
6                   indicating the category to a user;  
7                   accepting input from the user to specify the first type; and  
8                   identifying the at least a portion of a document to the user.

1                   11.     The method of claim 10, wherein the category is geographic  
2 location.

- 1                    12.    The method of claim 10, wherein the category is corporate  
2    department.
- 1                    13.    The method of claim 10, wherein the category is .    employee  
2    classification.
- 1                    14.    The method of claim 10, wherein the category is time period.
- 1                    15.    The method of claim 10, wherein multiple categories are used, .  
2                    wherein the step of indicating the category to the user includes the substep of  
3                    indicating a plurality of categories to the user;  
4                    wherein the step accepting input from the user includes the substep of  
5                    allowing the user to specify at least one category type for two or more of  
6                    the categories.
- 1                    16.    The method of claim 15, wherein the user specification is  
2    performed by means of a selector tool displayed on a graphical user interface to a digital  
3    processing system.

# SYSTEM FOR PUBLISHING, ORGANIZING, ACCESSING AND DISTRIBUTING INFORMATION IN A COMPUTER NETWORK

## ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A system uses "dimensions" to filter information in a computer network.

- 5 Dimensions can be categories such as geographic location, corporate department, employee classification or time period, etc. Each dimension includes one or more "elements." An element is essentially a "value" for the dimension. For example, in the "geographic location" dimension, values can be "worldwide," "Europe," "France," etc. A "community" is a set of values for one or more dimensions. Thus, the community defines a segment, or "slice," of documents or information within the total documents or information available. "Themes" are used to further organize the documents. Themes are subject categories. Documents, and document portions, can be associated with themes and are organized according to themes. Dimensions, dimension values, and themes can be set by a system administrator.
- 10

15 SF 1091798 v1



File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Address: http://msn.com/8080/atlantel/home.html

Back Forward Home Stop Search Favorites

YOU660

Career  
Employment  
Referral System  
Training & Development

Policies  
Employment Guidelines  
Policies

120

US

Human Resources

All Employees

All Periods

Since

110

Employment Guidelines

Select one

130

► Verification (Legal Right To Work)  
To comply with the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, we can only hire those individuals who are eligible to work in the United States. As a condition of employment, you will be required to provide documents verifying your identity and your eligibility to work in the United States and to complete an Immigration and Naturalization Service document within 72 hours of employment

► Confidential Information

The security of employees, employee property, and Company property is of vital importance to the Company. All employees share responsibility to ensure that proper security is maintained. Any breach of security should be reported promptly to your Manager or Human Resources. Company property includes, not only tangible property, like desks and computers, but also intangible property, such as information. Of

100

Fig. 1

09576946052200

Local Internet




Fig. 2A




Fig. 2C

# Internet Home Page - Microsoft Internet Explorer

YOLLO



Products

Europe

Sales

All Employees

All Periods

Sign

Fig. 2B

09576946.052200




Fig. 2D

Theme:

- People
- Legal
- Life Style
- Corporate
- Car Pooling
- Environment
- Stock Options

Fig. 2E

	France <input type="button" value="v"/>	Development <input type="button" value="v"/>
Manager <input type="button" value="v"/>	All Hobbies <input type="button" value="v"/>	All Periods <input type="button" value="v"/>
<input type="button" value="Search"/>		<input type="button" value="Slice"/>

Fig. 2F




Fig 3

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **SYSTEM FOR PUBLISHING, ORGANIZING, ACCESSING AND DISTRIBUTING INFORMATION IN A COMPUTER NETWORK** the specification of which   X   is attached hereto or        was filed on                      as Application No.                      and was amended on                      (if applicable).

I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56. I claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

## Prior Foreign Application(s)

Country	Application No.	Date of Filing	Priority Claimed Under 35 USC 119

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Application No.	Filing Date
60/148,029	August 10, 1999

I claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application No.	Date of Filing	Status

**POWER OF ATTORNEY:** As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

No attorneys found in database

Send Correspondence to: <b>Charles J. Kulas</b> <b>TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP</b> <b>Two Embarcadero Center, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor</b> <b>San Francisco, California 94111-3834</b>	Direct Telephone Calls to: (Name, Reg. No., Telephone No.) <b>Name: Charles J. Kulas</b> <b>Reg. No.: 35,809</b> <b>Telephone: 415-576-0200</b>
---	---

Full Name of Inventor 1:	Last Name: <b>DAYON</b>	First Name: <b>ALEXANDRE</b>	Middle Name or Initial:	
Residence & Citizenship:	City: <b>75116 Paris</b>	State/Foreign Country: <b>France</b>	Country of Citizenship: <b>France</b>	
Post Office Address:	Post Office Address: <b>33 Rue Nicolo</b>	City: <b>75116 Paris</b>	State/Country: <b>France</b>	Postal Code:

Signature of Inventor 1

\_\_\_\_\_

Date

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

SP-1098716 v1